LIBRARY SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Office Supreme Court, U.S. F. I. L. E. D.

OCT 7 1967

Is Tas

THIN F. DAVIS GLERK

Supreme Court of the Anited States

OCTOBER TERM, 1967

No. 33

United Mine Workers of America, District 12,

Petitioners,

ILLINOIS STATE BAR ASSOCIATION, AN ILLINOIS NOT FOR PROFIT CORPORATION, et als.,

Respondents.

On Writ of Certificati to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois

PETITIONERS' RESPONSE TO THE RESPECTIVE MOTIONS TO FILE BRIEFS AS AMICUS CURIAE AND OBJECTIONS TO MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO PARTICIPATE IN ORAL ARGUMENT

EDMUND BURKE

217 South Seventh Street
Springfield, Illinois
EDWARD L. CAREY
HARRISON COMBS
WILLARD P. OWENS
900 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C.
M. E. BOLARSKY
511 Kanawha Valley Building
Charleston, West Virginia
Attorneys for Petitioners.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1967

No. 33

UNITED MINE WORKERS OF AMERICA, DISTRICT 12,

Petitioners,

V

ILLINOIS STATE BAR ASSOCIATION, AN ILLINOIS NOT FOR PROFIT CORPORATION, et als.,

Respondents.

On Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois

PETITIONERS' RESPONSE TO THE RESPECTIVE MOTIONS TO FILE BRIEFS AS AMICUS CURIAE AND

OBJECTIONS TO MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO PARTICIPATE IN ORAL ARGUMENT

I. PETITIONERS' RESPONSE TO THE RESPECTIVE MOTIONS TO FILE BRIEFS AS AMICUS CURIAE

Motions to file an amicus curiae brief have been filed by (1) American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations, (2) NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. and the National Office for the Rights of the Indigent, and (3) The State Bar of California.

In response to such Motions, Petitioners United Mine Workers of America, District 12, state that Petitioners are generally disposed not to object to the filing of such briefs, being of the view that the Court may desire to have such assistance as is offered therein. Hence, it had already indicated consent to the Movants, other than The State Bar of California. Refusal to give consent to it was prompted by Respondents' refusal to grant their consent to Movants American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations, and NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc., and the National Office for the Rights of the Indigent. Allowance by the Court to the first two named Movants to file their respective briefs would remove any objection Petitioners have to The State Bar of California's motion.

II. PETITIONERS' OBJECTIONS TO MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO PARTICIPATE IN ORAL ARGUMENT.

Both NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc., and the National Office for the Rights of the Indigent, and The State Bar of California have moved for leave to participate in oral argument.

Petitioners object thereto for the reasons that argument of the instant case is upon the Court's summary calendar and this Court's Rule 44, paragraph 3, limits the time for argument to thirty (30) minutes a side. Since paragraph 7 of Rule 44 limits the total argument time to thirty minutes even though an amicus curiae is permitted to participate therein, any reduction of the thirty-minutes argument period would not permit a fair presentation of Petitioners' contentions. Petitioners request,

therefore, that the Court deny the respective motions to participate in oral argument herein.

Respectfully submitted,

EDMUND BURKE
217 South Seventh Street
Springfield, Illinois
EDWARD L. CAREY
HARRISON COMBS
WILLARD P. OWENS
900 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C.

M. E. BOIARSKY
511 Kanawha Valley Building
Charleston, West Virginia
Attorneys for United Mine
Workers of America, District 12

Dated: October, 1967